

REMARKS

Claims 1-30 are pending in the application. Claims 24 and 25 are allowed. Examiner deems claim 20 allowable if rewritten to include the limitations of the base claim. The remaining claims, claims 1-19, 21-23, and 26-30 stand rejected.

Claim Amendments

Claims 1, 17, 18, 20, 26, 27, 29, 30 have been amended to conform with Proposed Examiner's Amendments dated September 27, 2010 (copy attached as **Exhibit A**). Claim 28 has been cancelled. No new matter has been added.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 102

Although Applicant does not agree with the arguments set forth in the final office action of November 17, 2010, and Applicant maintains all arguments he has set forth to date, Applicant agrees to the Proposed Examiner's Amendments set forth by fax on September 27, 2010 (*see*, Exhibit A.) With these amendments, Applicant believes that this application is now in condition for allowance.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, Applicant believes he has amended the claims to put this application into condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 17, 2011

/Joseph R. Meaney /
Joseph R. Meaney, Reg. No. 45,276
Venable, Campillo, Logan & Meaney, P.C.
Attorneys for Applicant
1938 E. Osborn Road
Phoenix, Arizona 85016
(602) 631-9100